

## General

### Title

Stroke and stroke rehabilitation: percentage of final reports for CT or MRI studies of the brain performed within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital for patients aged 18 years and older with either a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage or at least one documented symptom consistent with ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage that include documentation of the presence or absence of each of the following: hemorrhage and mass lesion and acute infarction.

### Source(s)

American Academy of Neurology, American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Stroke and stroke rehabilitation physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association (AMA), National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA); 2009 Feb. 20 p.

## Measure Domain

### Primary Measure Domain

#### Process

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the [Measure Validity](#) page.

### Secondary Measure Domain

Does not apply to this measure

## Brief Abstract

### Description

This measure is used to assess the percentage of final reports for computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the brain performed within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital for patients aged 18 years and older with either a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) or intracranial hemorrhage or at least one documented symptom consistent with ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage that include documentation of the presence or absence of each of the following: hemorrhage and mass lesion and acute infarction.

To promote a comprehensive approach to performance improvement, the Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measurement Set is intended for use in its entirety when measuring clinical quality in the care of eligible patients. Full implementation of this measurement set for patients with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) should always include the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® measure, [Radiology: Stenosis Measurement in Carotid Imaging Reports](#).

## Rationale

The computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings are critical to initiating care for the patient with stroke. All CT and MRI reports should address the presence or absence of these three important findings. This documentation is particularly vital in the report of the first imaging study performed after arrival at the hospital, on which initial treatment decisions will be based.\*

\*The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:

Brain imaging is required to guide acute intervention. (Grade A) There is a uniform agreement that CT accurately identifies most cases of intracranial hemorrhage and helps discriminate nonvascular causes of neurological symptoms (e.g., brain tumor). With the advent of rtPA treatment, interest has grown in using CT to identify subtle, early signs of ischemic brain injury (early infarct signs) or arterial occlusion that might affect decisions about treatment. The presence of these signs is associated with poor outcomes. (American Stroke Association [ASA])

A technically adequate head CT scan is required prior to administration of thrombolytic therapy to exclude brain hemorrhage and nonischemic diagnoses. The baseline CT scan is also sensitive for detection of early signs of cerebral infarction. Subtle or limited signs of early infarction on the CT scan are common even within the first 3 h of stroke evolution. Preliminary data suggest that specific MRI profiles may identify patients who are particularly likely to benefit from thrombolytic therapy. New MRI techniques including perfusion-weighted and diffusion-weighted may detect ischemic injury in the first hour and may reveal the extent of reversible and irreversible injury. In addition, MRI appears to be highly sensitive for identification of acute brain hemorrhage. (American College of Chest Physicians [ACCP])

## Primary Clinical Component

Ischemic stroke; transient ischemic attack (TIA); intracranial hemorrhage; computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain; hemorrhage; mass lesion; acute infarction

## Denominator Description

All final reports for computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the brain performed within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital for patients aged 18 years and older with either a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) or intracranial hemorrhage OR at least one documented symptom consistent with ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage

## Numerator Description

Final reports of the initial computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that include documentation of the presence or absence of each of the following: hemorrhage and mass lesion and acute infarction

## Evidence Supporting the Measure

### Evidence Supporting the Criterion of Quality

A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical evidence

## Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

## Need for the Measure

Use of this measure to improve performance

## Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, Keesey J, Hicks J, DeCristofaro A, Kerr EA. The quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2003 Jun 26;348(26):2635-45. [PubMed](#)

Thom T, Haase N, Rosamond W, Howard VJ, Rumsfeld J, Manolio T, Zheng ZJ, Flegal K, O'Donnell C, Kittner S, Lloyd-Jones D, Goff DC Jr, Hong Y, Adams R, Friday G, Furie K, Gorelick P, Kissela B, Marler J, Meigs J, Roger V, Sidney S, Sorlie P, Steinberger J, Wasserthiel-Smoller S, Wilson M, Wolf P. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2006 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation. 2006 Feb 14;113(6):e85-151. [PubMed](#)

## State of Use of the Measure

### State of Use

Current routine use

### Current Use

Internal quality improvement

National reporting

## Application of Measure in its Current Use

### Care Setting

Hospitals

### Professionals Responsible for Health Care

Physicians

### Lowest Level of Health Care Delivery Addressed

Individual Clinicians

### Target Population Age

Age greater than or equal to 18 years

### Target Population Gender

Either male or female

## Stratification by Vulnerable Populations

Unspecified

# Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

## Incidence/Prevalence

Unspecified

## Association with Vulnerable Populations

Unspecified

## Burden of Illness

Unspecified

## Utilization

Unspecified

## Costs

Unspecified

# Institute of Medicine (IOM) Healthcare Quality Report Categories

## IOM Care Need

Getting Better

## IOM Domain

Effectiveness

# Data Collection for the Measure

## Case Finding

Users of care only

## Description of Case Finding

All final reports for computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the brain performed within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital for patients aged 18 years and older with either a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) or intracranial hemorrhage OR at least one documented symptom consistent with ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage

## Denominator Sampling Frame

Patients associated with provider

## Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions

### Inclusions

All final reports for computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the brain performed within 24 hours of arrival to the hospital for patients aged 18 years and older with either a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) or intracranial hemorrhage OR at least one documented symptom consistent with ischemic stroke or TIA or intracranial hemorrhage

### Exclusions

None

## Relationship of Denominator to Numerator

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

## Denominator (Index) Event

Clinical Condition

Diagnostic Evaluation

Institutionalization

## Denominator Time Window

Time window is a fixed period of time

## Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions

### Inclusions

Final reports of the initial computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that include documentation of the presence or absence of each of the following: hemorrhage and mass lesion and acute infarction

### Exclusions

None

## Measure Results Under Control of Health Care Professionals, Organizations and/or Policymakers

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals,

organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

## Numerator Time Window

Institutionalization

## Data Source

Administrative data

Medical record

## Level of Determination of Quality

Individual Case

## Pre-existing Instrument Used

Unspecified

## Computation of the Measure

### Scoring

Rate

### Interpretation of Score

Better quality is associated with a higher score

### Allowance for Patient Factors

Unspecified

### Standard of Comparison

Internal time comparison

## Evaluation of Measure Properties

### Extent of Measure Testing

Unspecified

## Identifying Information

## Original Title

Measure #8: computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reports.

## Measure Collection Name

The Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Measurement Sets

## Measure Set Name

Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Physician Performance Measurement Set

## Submitter

American Medical Association on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology, American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, and National Committee for Quality Assurance - Medical Specialty Society

## Developer

American Academy of Neurology - Medical Specialty Society

American College of Radiology - Medical Specialty Society

National Committee for Quality Assurance - Health Care Accreditation Organization

Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® - Clinical Specialty Collaboration

## Funding Source(s)

Unspecified

## Composition of the Group that Developed the Measure

Joseph Drozda, Jr., MD (*Co-Chair*); Robert Holloway, MD, MPH (*Co-Chair*); David Seidenwurm, MD (*Co-Chair*); Chris Alexander, III, MD, FACP; John Barr, MD; Oscar Benavente, MD, FRCPC; Christopher Bever, Jr., MD, MBA; Thomas Bleck, MD FCCM; Ronald Gabel, MD; Judith Hinchey, MD; Irene Katzan, MD; Rahul K. Khare, MD; Michael Lev, MD; John McMahon, MD; Mark Morasch, MD, FACS; Suresh Mukherji, MD; Konrad C. Nau, MD; Sam J. W. Romeo, MD, MBA; Eric Russell, MD, FACP; Marilyn Rymer, MD; Ajay Sharma, MD; John Schneider, MD, PhD; Timothy Shephard, PhD; Michael A. Sloan, MD, MS; William D. Smucker, MD, CMD; Patrick Turski, MD, FACP; Linda Williams, MD; Richard Zorowitz, MD

Sarah Tonn, MPH, American Academy of Neurology

Sandra H. Bjork, RN, JD, American College of Radiology

Penelope Solis, JD, American Heart Association/American Stroke Association

Andrea Gelzer, MD, MS, FACP, Health Plan Representative

Mark Antman, DDS, MBA, American Medical Association; Karen S. Kmetik, PhD, American Medical Association; Beth Tapper, MA, American Medical Association; Samantha Tierney, MPH, American Medical Association

## Financial Disclosures/Other Potential Conflicts of Interest

Conflicts, if any, are disclosed in accordance with the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® conflict of interest policy.

## Endorser

National Quality Forum - None

## Included in

Ambulatory Care Quality Alliance

Physician Quality Reporting Initiative

## Adaptation

Measure was not adapted from another source.

## Release Date

2006 Sep

## Revision Date

2009 Feb

## Measure Status

This is the current release of the measure.

The Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement reaffirmed the currency of this measure in November 2010.

## Source(s)

American Academy of Neurology, American College of Radiology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Stroke and stroke rehabilitation physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association (AMA), National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA); 2009 Feb. 20 p.

## Measure Availability

The individual measure, "Measure #8: Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Reports," is published in the "Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation: Physician Performance Measurement Set." This document and technical specifications are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the American Medical Association (AMA)-convened Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Web site: [www.physicianconsortium.org](http://www.physicianconsortium.org) .



For further information, please contact AMA staff by e-mail at [cqi@ama-assn.org](mailto:cqi@ama-assn.org).

## NQMC Status

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on September 13, 2007. The information was verified by the measure developer on October 26, 2007. This NQMC summary was edited by ECRI Institute on September 28, 2009. The information was reaffirmed by the measure developer on November 17, 2010.

## Copyright Statement

© Measures including specifications

© 2005-6 American Medical Association and National Committee for Quality Assurance

## Disclaimer

### NQMC Disclaimer

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse<sup>®</sup> (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the [NQMC Inclusion Criteria](#).

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of measures represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NQMC, AHRQ, or its contractor, ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.